

11th High Level Meeting on Global Banking Standards
and Supervisory Priorities in the Americas

Resolving Large Banks. Advances and Remaining Roadblocks.

ASBA-BCBS-FSI
Mexico City, 19 October 2016

JOSÉ MARÍA ROLDÁN ALEGRE
Chairman & CEO, Spanish Banking Association



Asociación Española de Banca

I. The former TBTF problem

- Diagnosis
 - Too much size, complexity, interconnectedness, ...
 - Systemic risk; non-substitutability; ...
 - Implicit (and, when necessary, explicit) public support = taxpayer money at risk
- Treatment
 - Regulation: much higher capital requirements (quantity and quality); G-SIBs category; TLAC; structural reforms?
 - Supervision: more intensive; more intrusive; more discretionary supervisory powers
 - Resolution: shareholders and junior bondholders money at risk (first)

II. Not TBTF entities

1. If assets < liabilities \Rightarrow go out of business
 - Fairness across sectors
 - Level playing field within entities
 - Level playing field within investors
2. Large banks \neq TBTF
 - The importance of being resolvable
 - Business model vs. Resolvability assessment
3. Resolution
 - Neither liquidation nor resurrection
 - But, more important: prevention scheme and early intervention measures

III. Resolving banks and business model

- Regulation must be neutral with business and organizational model: SPE and MPE
- From the point of view of resolution, MPE shows undisputed advantages:
 - Financial independent units
 - Not parent company financial or liquidity dependence
 - No contagion
- Agreed that not all business models allow for MPE

IV. Recovery & Resolution plans

- Recovery plan
 - Relevant: “*know your structure*”
 - Internal preparedness
 - Identify critical functions and core business lines
 - Credibility of recovery options
- Resolution plan
 - First thing: better not try !!
 - Increases resilience, supports prevention and allows early actions
- Avoiding bankruptcy
- and, also, planning the exit:
No corporation is eternal (Average life: 50 years)

V. Myths around resolution

- No taxpayers money
 - Systemic crisis: whatever it takes to stay afloat
 - Someone has to pay the bill
 - OK shareholders / bonds holders
 - OK no guaranteed depositors
 - Investment funds & Pension funds (savers, retirees, ...)
 - and finally taxpayers.
 - It is about distribution of burden
- Resolution weekend
 - A weekend to have a plan,
 - but execution takes months
- Individual vs. Systemic crisis

VI. Advances ...

- Regulatory and institutional framework
 - Identification G-SIBs and D-SIBs
 - Capital surcharges (buffer)
 - Living wills
 - Regulation
 - FSB: *Key Attributes* ; TLAC
 - EU: BRRD and national transpositions
 - Institutional framework
 - EU: Resolution authorities
 - Eurozone: Single Resolution Mechanism & Single Resolution Fund

VII. ... and remaining ... roadblocks?

- **Recognition of MPE:** knowing characteristics and building specific requirements (no fit at all, SPE & MPE)
- **Translation of TLAC to legal frameworks**
 - EU: pending complete MREL regulation and TLAC compatibility
 - Breach treatment
- **Absorbing capacity of non-equity instruments**
 - Conversion trigger
 - Priority order
 - International recognition of bail-in clauses
 - Disclosure
- **Completing and, more important, running of resolution plans** (not to say practical execution)
- **Resolution colleges**

VIII. Conclusion

- Nowadays, large banks are safer and less likely to fail
 - Tier 1 capital ratio SIFIs > 2 times 2009 ⁽¹⁾
 - Basel III risks-adjusted capital level SIFIs \approx until 7 times Basel II ⁽²⁾
- But markets do not believe in it, and reduced riskiness is not translated into lower required return on stock
- For economic reasons:
 - Low interest rate environment
 - Flat yield curve
 - Increased competition from shadow banking and fintechs
- But for regulatory changes too ⁽³⁾

It is pending the assessment of effects of new regulation in the market's confidence and in the banks' franchise value

Thank you very much